



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

A PATH FOR HORIZING YOUR INNOVATIVE WORK

ISLANDING DETECTION IN DISTRIBUTED GENERATION BY USING FUZZY RULE



IJPRET-QR CODE

MODUBOINA SREENIVASULU¹, RONTOLA RAMPRASAD²



PAPER-QR CODE

1. M-Tech Scholar, EEE (CAPS), N.B.K.R.I.S.T, Andhra Pradesh, India
2. Assistant Professor, N.B.K.R.I.S.T, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Abstract

Accepted Date:

20/12/2012

Publish Date:

01/02/2013

Keywords

Decision tree,
Fuzzy rule base,
Islanding detection,
Similarity measure

Corresponding Author

Mr. Moduboina
Sreenivasulu

The proposed method develops a fuzzy rule-based classifier that was tested using features for islanding detection in distributed generation. In the developed technique, the initial classification boundaries are found out by using the decision tree (DT). From the DT classification boundaries, the fuzzy membership functions (MFs) are developed and the corresponding rule base is formulated for islanding detection. But some of the fuzzy MFs are merged based upon similarity the measure for reducing the fuzzy MFs and simplifying the fuzzy rule base to make it more transparent. Provides classification results without misdetection, which shows the robustness of the proposed approach for islanding detection for distributed generations in the distribution network.

INTRODUCTION

Integrations of distributed generations (DGs) in the distribution network are expected to play an increasingly important role in the electric power system infrastructure and market. As more DG systems become part of the power grid, there is an increased safety hazard for personnel and an increased risk of damage to the power system. Despite the favorable aspects grid-connected DGs can provide to the distribution system, a critical demanding concern is islanding detection and prevention. Islanding is a condition where the DG supplies power and is not under the direct control of the utility. Islanding detection techniques may be classified as passive or active. Passive techniques use information available at the DG side to determine whether the DG system is isolated from the grid. The advantage of passive techniques is that the implementation does not have an impact on the normal operation of the DG system. Active techniques introduce an external perturbation at the output of the inverter. These tend to have a faster response and a smaller non detection zone compared to

passive approaches. However, the power quality (PQ) of the inverter can be degraded by the perturbation.

Different method for islanding detection techniques have been reported in recent years. Some of the papers provides detailed review of islanding detection for DGs in distributed networks. The islanding detection based upon the rate-of-change of power signal, the rate-of-change of voltage and change in power factor, the vector surge technique, the rate-of-change of frequency, the phase-shift method, the harmonic impedance estimation technique have attracted wide spread attention. For ROCOF relays, the rate of change of frequency is calculated within a measurement window and used to detect islanding operation. The ROCOF relays, however, may become ineffective if the power imbalance in the islanded system is less than 15%, resulting in a high risk of false detection.

The proposed approach is based on the passive method of islanding detection considering the data mining approach. The method includes building a simplified and

robust fuzzy classifier initialized by the decision tree (DT) for islanding detection. As a result of the increasing complexity and dimensionality of classification problems, it becomes necessary to deal with structural issues of the identification of classifier systems. Important aspects are the selection of the relevant features and determination of effective initial partition of the input domain. Moreover, when the classifier is identified as part of an expert system, the linguistic interpretability is also an important aspect which must be taken into account. The first two aspects are often approached by an exhaustive search or educated guesses, while the interpretability aspect is often neglected. Now the importance of all these aspects is recognized, which makes the automatic data-based identification of classification systems that are compact, interpretable, and accurate.

DT-based classifiers perform a rectangular partitioning of the input space while the fuzzy models generate no axis parallel decision boundaries. Hence, the main advantage of rule-based classifiers over crisp DTs, is greater flexibility of the

decision boundaries. Therefore, fuzzy classifiers can be more interpretable compared to DT classifiers. Generally the initialization steps of the identification of the fuzzy model become very significant. Common methods for such as grid-type partitioning and rule generation on extreme initialization result in complex and non interpretable initial models. To avoid such problems, a crisp decision tree, having high performance and computational efficiency, is proposed for initial partitioning of the input domain for the proposed fuzzy model.

In the proposed approach, two major steps are involved. In the first step, features are extracted and in the second step, classification task is performed for islanding detection. Thus, feature selection is one of the important tasks involved in the proposed approach. Different techniques have been proposed which work on one of the estimated parameter. Thus, we have derived all possible features such as change in power, change in voltage, rate of change of power, rate of change of voltage, total harmonic distortion (THD) (current), THD (voltage), change in power factor, etc.,

could be affected by islanding and can be measured locally at the target location.

The derived features¹⁸ are used as inputs to the DT for deciding the most significant features which take part in the decision-making process and the initial classification boundaries. From the DT classification boundaries of the most significant features, trapezoidal fuzzy membership functions are developed and corresponding rule base is formed for classification. But some of the fuzzy MFs are merged depending upon the similarity measure and thus reducing the number of fuzzy MFs. From the reduced fuzzy MFs, a simplified fuzzy rule base is developed for islanding detection.

STUDIED SYSTEM AND FEATURE EXTRACTION

The system studied for the proposed method is shown in fig.1. The details of the studied system are given as follows. The base power has been chosen as 20 MVA.

Generators data : Equivalent System S : rated short circuit MVA=1000, $f=60\text{Hz}$, rated $kV=69$, $V_{base} = 69\text{ k v}$. Generators DG1 and DG2 : rated MVA=10, $f=60\text{Hz}$,54 poles, Y_n , rated $kV=13.8$, $V_{base} = 13.8\text{ kV}$,

Inertia constant $H=3.0\text{ sec.}$, $R_0 = 0.0025\text{ pu}$,
 $X_0 = 0.113\text{ pu}$, $R_1 = 0.001\text{ pu}$, $X_1 = 0.15\text{ pu}$,
 $X_d = 1.028\text{ pu}$, $X_q = 0.654\text{ pu}$, $X'_d = 0.34\text{ pu}$,
 $X'_q = 0.654\text{ pu}$, $X''_d = 0.253\text{ pu}$, $X''_q = 0.298\text{ pu}$,
 $T'_{d0} = 7.5\text{ s}$, $T'_{q0} = 0\text{ s}$, $T''_{d0} = 0.07\text{ s}$,
 $T''_{q0} = 0.09\text{ s}$

Power Transformers data: Transformer T1: rated MVA=25, $f=60\text{Hz}$, rated $kV=69/13.8$, Dyn 1, $V_{base} = 13.8\text{ kV}$, $R_1 = 0.00375\text{ pu}$, $X_1 = 0.1\text{ pu}$, $R_m = 500\text{ pu}$, $X_m = 500\text{ pu}$.

Transformer T2 and T3: rated MVA=10, $f=60\text{Hz}$, rated $kV=13.8/13.8$, Y_{nd1} , $V_{base} = 13.8\text{ kV}$, $R_1 = 0.00375\text{ pu}$, $X_1 = 0.1\text{ pu}$, $R_m = 500\text{ pu}$, $X_m = 500\text{ pu}$.

Transmission lines data; Rated $kV=13.8$, rated MVA=20, $V_{base} = 13.8\text{ kV}$, $R_{0L} = 0.0414\text{ ohms/km}$, $R_{1L} = 0.0138\text{ ohms/km}$, $X_{0L} = 0.0534\text{ ohms/km}$, $X_{1L} = 0.0178\text{ ohms/km}$,
 $X_{0CL} = 5.1\text{ nF/km}$, $X_{1CL} = 17\text{ nF/km}$, Line 1= 20 km, Line 2= 10 km, Line 3= 10 km.

Normal Loading data : (Rated $kV=13.8$)L-1= 10 MW, 3.5 MVAR.L-2= 5.0 MW,2.0 MVAR,L-3=5.0 MW, 2.0 MVAR,L-4=5.0 mMW,2.0 MVAR.

The various features are collected at the DRx with different operating conditions of

the network. Normally, the indices are chosen to include all possible sensitive system parameters that could be affected by islanding and that can be measured locally. In the proposed technique, the following 11 features are chosen and defined for any target distributed resource DRx.

$X1 = \Delta f$ is the frequency deviation (Hz)

$X2 = \Delta V$ is the voltage deviation (Pu)

$X3 = (\Delta f / t)$ is the rate of change of frequency (Hz/s).

$X4 = (V / \Delta t)$ is the rate of change of voltage.(pu/s).

$X5 = (\Delta P / \Delta t)$ is the rate of change of the DRx power (MW/s).

$X6 = (\Delta f / \Delta P)$ is the rate of change of frequency over power (Hz/MW).

$X7 = CTHD$ is the total harmonic distortion of the current (pu).

$X8 = VTHD$ is the total harmonic distortion of the voltage (pu).

$X9 = pf$ is the power factor deviation under.

$X10 = (U \cdot \cos(\phi))$ is the absolute value of the phase voltage times power factor (pu)

$X11 = ((U \cdot \cos(\phi)) / t)$ is the gradient of the voltage times power factor(pu/




Figure 1 Power distribution system with multiple DG (distributed generations) interface.

The aforementioned features are extracted under different islanding and non islanding conditions of the network as follows.

- 1) Condition-1: Tripping of the circuit breaker CB-1 to simulate the condition of islanding of the DG with the PCC bus loads.
- 2) Condition-2: Tripping of the circuit breaker CB-2 (isolating the PCC bus loads) to simulate disturbances on the DG.
- 3) Condition-3: Tripping of the circuit breaker CB-3 to simulate the islanding of the DG without the PCC- bus loads.

4) Condition-4: Three-phase fault on the GEN_BUS with instantaneous (1 cycle) fault-clearing time by the CB-1 which, in turn, causes islanding of the DG.

5) Condition-5: Sudden decrease of the loading on the target distributed resource by 40%.

6) Condition-6: Tripping of the largest distributed resource within the DG other than the target one.

Each condition of these events is simulated under different operating conditions of the DG and power system network. The operating conditions are given as follows.

Normal loading ($Z_s = j0.02$ Pu) with normal PCC- bus loading ($P=0.5$ pu, $Q=0.175$ pu).

Normal loading ($Z_s = j0.02$ Pu) with minimum PCC- bus loading ($P=0.3$ Pu, $Q=0.105$ Pu).

Normal loading ($Z_s = j0.02$ Pu) with maximum PCC- bus loading ($P=0.625$ Pu, $Q=0.22$ Pu).

Minimum loading ($Z_s = j0.05$ Pu) with normal PCC- bus loading ($P=0.5$ Pu, $Q=0.175$ Pu).

Minimum loading ($Z_s = j0.05$ Pu) with minimum PCC- bus loading ($P=0.3$ Pu, $Q=0.105$ Pu).

Minimum loading ($Z_s = j0.05$ Pu) with maximum PCC- bus loading ($P=0.625$ Pu, $Q=0.22$ Pu).

Maximum loading ($Z_s = j0.01$ Pu) with normal PCC- bus loading ($P=0.5$ Pu, $Q=0.175$ Pu).

Maximum loading ($Z_s = j0.01$ Pu) with minimum PCC- bus loading ($P=0.3$ Pu, $Q=0.105$ Pu).

Maximum loading ($Z_s = j0.01$ pu)) with maximum PCC- bus loading ($P=0.625$ pu, $Q=0.22$ pu).

From the aforementioned conditions, various features are derived and used to train the DT for generating initial classification boundaries to develop the fuzzy rule base for islanding detection.




Figure 2 Fuzzy interference systems for islanding detection

DECISION TREE FOR INITIAL CLASSIFICATION

DT is a classifier in high dimensions. Each internal node in the tree tests the value of a predictor while each branch of the tree represents the outcome of a test. The terminating nodes, also referred to as leaf nodes, represent a classification. The number of predictors, used in the classification problem, indicates the dimension of the problem. Associated with each decision (leaf) of the tree is the confidence of the decision. This is simply a measure of the ratio of the particular class to all the classes present in the dataset for that node.

The proposed approach uses the “Insightful Miner” software package for generating DT for classification. Insightful Miner is a powerful, scalable, data mining and analysis workbench that enables organizations to

deliver customized predictive intelligence where and how it is needed. It’s easy-to-use interface is specifically designed for statisticians and business analysts without specialized programming skills. With Insightful Miner, one can quickly find the answers you need to solve specific business issues and easily communicate your results to colleagues across the organization. As data sets increase in size, traditional data mining tools become less and less efficient for analysis, and in these situations Insightful Miner performs better providing a rich statistical analysis and graphics capability. Thus, this has been chosen for developing DT structure for the proposed study.

The DT analysis is carried out with most splitting setting taking all the extracted features and provides the most significant features which take part in the decision-making process. It is found that though there are 11 features fed to the DT, but finally only three features (f/ t, P/ t, f) are used to develop the classification tree as shown in Figure 2. Thus, DT provides information on the most significant features (3 features) which take part in real decision-

making process, leaving rest 8 features redundant. From the classification boundaries of the most significant features resulted from DT, fuzzy membership functions are developed and used in fuzzy rule base for islanding detection.

DT TRANSFORMATION IN TO THE FUZZY RULE BASE

The DT is transformed to a fuzzy rule base by developing the fuzzy membership functions from the partition boundaries of the DT. From the DT boundaries, rectangular MFs are developed for each independent variable. For illustration, consider the DT classification boundaries shown in Fig. 3(a). The associated trapezoidal fuzzy MFs [Fig. 3(b) and (c)] are developed for variables and as follows




Figure 3 DT-based islanding detection. Class-1 means islanding and class-0 means non islanding

$$A1 = \mu\{X1, [0, 0, a, a]\}$$

$$A2 = \mu\{X1, [a, a, c, c]\}$$

$$B1 = \mu\{X2, [0,0,b,b]\}$$

$$B2 = \mu\{X2, [b, b, d, d]\}$$

Where

$$\mu_j(X_j; a, b, c, d) = \max(0, \min(x-a/b-a, 1, d-x/d-c)).(1)$$

From the fuzzy MFs, a simple rule base can be generated for

Classes 1 and 2 as follows:

If X1 is A1 and X2 is B1, then Class-1 (C-1)

If X1 is A2 and X2 is B2, then Class-1 (C-2)

From the aforementioned DT-fuzzy transformation technique, the resulting DT output (Fig. 2) is converted to the corresponding fuzzy rule base. The most significant features f/t , P/t , f are considered as, and, respectively. Depending upon the values of the above three variables, the classification boundaries are decided for islanding detection. Thus, when f/t is greater than 2.18, then the class is "1". If f/t is less than 2.18 and less than 0.64, then the class "1". If f/t is greater than 0.64 and less

than 0.1664, then class “0”, otherwise class “1”. From the DT boundaries, trapezoidal MFs are developed for each variable (and). The fuzzy MFs developed for variable are and, for are, and for are. Per the above formulations, the rectangular MFs are derived as

$$A1=\mu\{X1, [2.18, 2.18, 34.0, 34.0]\}$$

$$A2=\mu\{X1, [-9.5, -9.5, 2.18, 2.18]\}$$

$$B1=\mu\{X2, [0.64, 0.64, 19.0, 19.0]\}$$

$$B2=\mu\{X2, [-0.5, -0.5, 19.0, 19.0]\}$$

$$B3=\mu\{X2, [-0.5, -0.5, 0.64, 0.64]\}$$

$$C1=\mu\{X3, [0.16, 0.16, 0.6, 0.6]\}$$

$$C2=\mu\{X3, [-0.05, -0.05, 0.16, 0.16]\}.$$

The fuzzy MFs generated from the DT classification boundaries are rectangular in nature. But to further add fuzziness to the membership functions, the rectangular boundaries are skewed to a certain extent by heuristic tuning. The coordinates of the trapezoidal fuzzy MFs are decided after testing on several values around the initial values resulting from DT. . Thus, the final fuzzy MFs are

$$A1=\mu\{X1, [2.18, 2.18, 34.0, 34.0]\}$$

$$A2=\mu\{X1, [-9.5,-9.5, 2.18, 2.18]\}$$

$$B1=\mu\{X2, [0.64, 0.64, 19.0, 19.0]\}$$

$$B2=\mu\{X2, [-0.5,-0.4, 18.0, 19.0]\}$$

$$B3=\mu\{X2, [-0.5,-0.4, 0.55, 0.64]\}$$

$$C1=\mu\{X3, [0.16, 0.2, 0.5, 0.6]\}$$

$$C2=\mu\{X3, [-0.05,-0.03, 0.12, 0.16]\}.$$

The corresponding fuzzy rule base is developed for each classification category and given as follows:

R1: If X1 is A1 and X2 is B2, then Class -1

R2: If X1 is A2 and X2 is B3, then Class -1

R3: If X1 is A2 and X2 is B1 and X3 is C1, then Class -1

R4: If X1 is A2 and X2 is B1 and X3 is C2, then Class -0

In fuzzy rule-based models acquired from numerical data, redundancy may be present in the form of similar fuzzy sets that represent compatible concepts. This results in an unnecessarily complex and less transparent linguistic description of the system. By using a measure of similarity, a

rule base simplification method is proposed that reduces the number of fuzzy sets in the model. Similar fuzzy sets are merged to create a common fuzzy set to replace them in the rule base. If the redundancy in the model is high, merging similar fuzzy sets might result in equal rules that also can be merged, thereby reducing the number of rules as well.

The similarity measure based on the set-theoretic operations of intersection and union can be expressed as follows:

$$S(A, B) = \frac{A \cap B}{A \cup B} \quad (2)$$

Where denotes the cardinality of a set, and the “ \wedge ” and “ \vee ” operators represent the intersection and union, respectively. Rewriting this expression in terms of the membership functions gives

$$S(A, B) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m [\mu_A(x_j) \wedge \mu_B(x_j)]}{\sum_{j=1}^m [\mu_A(x_j) \vee \mu_B(x_j)]} \quad (3)$$

In a discrete universe $X = \{x_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$, and “ \wedge ” and “ \vee ” are the minimum and maximum operators, Respectively. Based on the aforementioned criteria, the fuzzy

membership function of set and are merged with a similarity mean sure of 0.9152 to provide another common fuzzy membership function $W = \mu\{X, [-0.5, 0.1, 18, 19]\}$, shown in Figure 4.

After merging, there are 6 fuzzy MFs instead of the originally developed 7 MFs. depending upon the new fuzzy MFs; the rule base is simplified.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The details of the fuzzy inference system developed for islanding detection are shown in Figure 5 The Mamdani model with centroid defuzzification is used for implementing the rule base.

Table I provides the test results for different conditions of inputs, and for islanding detection. The FIS provides 0.5 for islanding detection and 0 for non islanding detection. Table II depicts the classification accuracy for data with and without noise. The classification accuracy is 100 % on 36 test cases of different conditions for features.

The proposed fuzzy rule base is found to be accurate and robust for islanding detection for wide variations in operating parameters

of the distribution network. Although the DT-fuzzy-based approach provides similar results compared to DT only (for our studied database), the fuzzy transformation helps to improve the interpretability of knowledge-based classifiers through its semantics that provide insight in the classifier structure and decision-making process over crisp classifiers. In case of DT only used for the islanding detection task, the scheme is based on an offline decision-making process (a data mining approach) where final implementation is based on the threshold values of the corresponding features of DT output. But in the proposed approach, DT is used for selecting most




Figure 4 Flowchart for the proposed fuzzy rule-based scheme for islanding detection




Figure 5 Instantaneous active and reactive powers

Significant feature and classification boundaries, which are done offline from various derived features. From the DT classification boundaries of the most significant features, fuzzy MFs and the corresponding rule base are formulated for islanding detection. Thus, for final implementation, only three features are derived at the target DG location and directly fed to the fuzzy inference system for islanding detection as shown in Figure 4. The proposed fuzzy rule-based classifier is easier to implement for online islanding detection compared to DT only, since DT is an offline data mining algorithm. Also the fuzzy rule base can handle more uncertainties (like noise), which falls on the

slope of the fuzzy trapezoidal MFs, compared to the crisp classifiers such as DT having sharp boundaries, with a larger data base. Thus, the superior approximation capabilities of the fuzzy systems over crisp classifiers help to develop the relay to meet the real time application with wide range of uncertainties. The fuzzy MFs can be further tuned to remove redundancy in the model using the real coded genetic algorithm and are being considered for real-time implementation.

CONCLUSION

A DT initialized fuzzy rule base classifier is proposed for is- landing detection. The

initial classification model is developed using DT which is a crisp decision tree algorithm. The DT is transformed into a fuzzy rule base by developing fuzzy MFs from the DT classification boundaries. The fuzzy MFs reduction and rule base simplification are performed using similarity mea- sure. The proposed method is tested on data with and without noise and found to provide 100% islanding detection. As the online implementation is easier with a fuzzy rule based approach, it is thus suitable for developing real time relay for islanding detection in a large power network.

Table 1

FIS OUTPUTS FOR DIFFERENT ISLANDING CONDITION

Sl. No	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	Actual condition	FIS output
1	11.5	-0.15	0.44	Islanding	0.5
2	-7.5	-0.48	0.09	Islanding	0.5
3	1.9e-5	2.1	1.0e4	Non-Islanding	0
4	1.8e-5	6.0	1.7e-4	Non-Islanding	0
5	3.3	-0.145	-0.014	Islanding	0.5
6	4.6	-0.19	-0.022	Islanding	0.5
7	31	11	-0.048	Islanding	0.5
8	-0.39	4.3	0.0015	Non-Islanding	0
9	-0.35	4.05	0.0017	Non-Islanding	0
10	1.2	6.8	0.03	Non-Islanding	0

REFERENCES

1. J. Yin, L. Chang and C. Diduch, Recent development in islanding detection for distributed power generation, in Proc. Large Engineering Systems Conf. Power Engineering, Jul. 28–30, 2004: 124–128.
2. W. Freitas, Z. Huang and W. Xu, A practical method for assessing the effectiveness of vector surge relays for distributed generation applications, IEEE Trans. Power Del., 2005; 20(1): 57–63.
3. T. Funabashi, K. Koyanagi and R. Yokoyama, A review of islanding detection methods for distributed resources, in Proc. IEEE Bologna Power Tech Conf., Bologna, Italy, Jun. 23–26, 2003; 2: 23–26.
4. H. Ishibuchi, T. Nakashima and T. Murata, Performance evaluation of fuzzy classifier systems for multidimensional pattern classification problems, IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern. B, vol. 29, no. 5, pp.
5. J. Yin, CP Diduch and L. Chang, Islanding detection using proportional power spectral density, IEEE Trans. Power Del., 2008; 23(2):776–784.
6. SK Salman, DJ King and G. Weller, New loss of mains detection algorithm for embedded generation using rate of change of voltage and changes in power factors, in Proc. Developments in Power System Protection Conf., 2001: 82–85.
7. MA Redfern and O. Usta, A new microprocessor based islanding protection algorithm for dispersed storage and generation units, IEEE Trans. Power Del., 1995; 10(3): 1249–1254.
8. L. Breiman, JH Friedman, RA Olshen and CJ Stone, Classification and Regression Trees. New York: Chapman & Hall, 1984.