



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

A PATH FOR HORIZING YOUR INNOVATIVE WORK

## EFFECT OF ADHEREND THICKNESS ON STATIC ANALYSIS OF ADHESIVELY BONDED DOUBLE LAP JOINTS IN FRP LAMINATED COMPOSITES

JAKEER HUSSAIN SHAIK\*, M.L PAVAN KISHORE, GANGADHARUDU TALLA

Research Scholar, Mechanical Engineering Department, National Institute of Technology  
Rourkela, India

Accepted Date: 30/12/2013 ; Published Date: 01/02/2014

**Abstract:** Adhesively bonded joints are increasingly being used in joining various structural components made of FRP laminated composites. Adequate understanding of the behavior of adhesively bonded joints is necessary to ensure efficiency, safety and reliability of such joints. While single lap joint has received considerable attention, very little work has been carried out on the double lap joint configuration. The present investigation deals with the static analysis of adhesively bonded double lap joint in laminated FRP composites using three-dimensional theory of elasticity based finite element method. The finite element model is validated with the theoretical concepts. The double lap joint made of generally orthotropic laminates subjected to longitudinal loading is analyzed. The out-of-plane normal and shear stresses are computed at the interfaces of the adherend and adhesive, and at mid surface of the adhesive for different ply orientations by varying the thickness of adherend is considered for the analysis. It was predicted that when the fiber angle increases the stiffness of the plate in the longitudinal direction decreases and the interlaminar stresses at joint interfaces increases due to the effect of coupling and also the longitudinal displacement increases.

**Keywords:** Double lap joint (DLJ), Finite element method (FEM), Fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP), Interlaminar stresses, Adherend thickness.



PAPER-QR CODE

Corresponding Author: JAKEER HUSSAIN SHAIK

Access Online On:

[www.ijpret.com](http://www.ijpret.com)

How to Cite This Article:

Jakeer Hussain Shaik, IJPRET, 2014; Volume 2 (6): 1-16

## INTRODUCTION

Fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) composites are increasingly replacing metals in primary load-carrying members, resulting in many advantages, but also introducing new challenges. Because no structure is built as a single monolithic unit, various members must be joined adequately to ensure the structure's safety and performance. Unlike metals, structural thermoset-FRP members cannot be welded, and lack the ductility needed for efficient mechanical fastening, making adhesive-bonding the only efficient alternative. However, an adequate understanding of the behavior of adhesively bonded joints is necessary to ensure not only efficiency, but also safety and reliability.

The overwhelming majority of the work on the subject of adhesive bonding has focused on the single-lap configuration. The use of mechanical fasteners to join composite structures is widespread in the aerospace industry. The tolerance to environmental effects, the ease of inspection and assembly, and the possibility of part replacement are the main advantages of this technique. However, even for optimized combinations of laminate lay-up, stacking sequence and joint geometry, low efficiencies, defined as the ratio between the notched and unnotched strength of the laminate, occur. The low efficiency is due to high stress concentrations, and to the brittle nature of most fiber-reinforced composites. Low efficiencies lead to weight and cost penalties in composite structures.

In adhesive bonding, two parts or substrates are joined by some kind of adhesive in several configurations. In general, loads are transferred from one substrate to another by shear stress. However, since in most cases loads are not applied concentrically with the joint, moment can create normal stress in joint, resulting in lower strength. This problem can be eliminated by using double lap joint, which transfer force only by shear stress. In general, adhesive bonding can fail in four modes- failure of the adherend, Shear strength failure of the adhesive, a mode associated with the failure of the adhesive under a peel load and failure by delaminations of the fibrous composite adherends. Adhesive bonding has many advantages over mechanical joints. First, since the load is distributed over an area of adhesive bonding, this results in a more uniform distribution of stresses and higher resistance to flexural, fatigue, and vibrational stresses. Second, it is more applicable to join irregular surfaces than mechanical joints. Third, it is less expensive and faster than mechanical joints. A major advantage of adhesive bonds is that adhesive bonds may be designed and made in such a way that they can be stronger than the ultimate strength of many metals in common use for aircraft construction.

The stresses induced at the interfaces of the adherends and adhesive play an important role in the design of adhesively bonded joints in FRP composites. Hence, these stresses are required to be analyzed most accurately. The behavior of idealized bonded joints such as double-lap shear joints was first studied by Volkersen [1]. He developed simple closed-form solutions for the shear stress distribution in the adhesive bondline assuming the shear deformations to be confined to the adhesive bondline while the adherends deform in response to a tensile load only by stretching. This provided useful insight into the fundamental behavior of bonded joints. Later, it was realized that, in particular for single-lap joints, the deformations of the adherends are not only axial, and that bending offered by the eccentric nature of lap joints causes transverse tensile stresses at the edges of the bondline. Goland and Reissner [2] took into consideration the effects of the adherends bending and the peel stress, as well as the shear stress, in the adhesive layer in a single lap joint. Hart-Smith [3, 4] modified the shear lag model to include the adhesive plasticity. Kim and Kedward [5] used finite difference method for the analysis of adhesively bonded joints. Penado and Dropek [6] used finite element method for the analysis of adhesively bonded joints. Abdolmajid and Tahani [7] developed an analytical method for stress analysis of symmetric adhesively bonded homogeneous and heterogeneous double-lap joints (DLJs). They studied the effect of inhomogeneity of the adherends on distributions of interfacial peel and shear stresses along the length and through the thickness of the bonding regions. Adams and Peppiatt [8] analyzed a bonded joint using a two dimensional linear elastic finite element method with plane strain assumption. Panigrahi and Pradhan [9] studied a single lap joint with the adherends made of especially orthotropic laminates for the evaluation of the tri-axial stress field using finite element analysis and proved the necessity of three-dimensional stress analysis of single lap joint. Panigrahi and Pradhan [10] carried out Three-dimensional non-linear finite element analyses for graphite/epoxy laminated FRP composite double lap joints to study the onset and growth of adhesion failure and delamination induced damages. Panigrahi and Pradhan [11] carried out Three-dimensional non-linear finite element analyses to study the effects of through-the-width delaminations on delamination damage propagation characteristics in adhesively bonded single lap laminated FRP composite joints. Ascione [12] presented a numerical investigation on double-lap and symmetrical single-lap joints subjected to shear/bending moment and axial force. De Castro and Keller [13] performed Quasi-static axial tension experiments in laboratory environment on adhesively-bonded double-lap joints from pultruded GFRP laminates. Chen and Nelson [14] presented some insight and tools to understand the stress distribution in a bonded joint induced by thermal expansion of dissimilar materials. Osnes and McGeorge [15] studied the interfacial stress distribution in overlaminated double-lap joints is investigated. Analytical models are derived for the shear and transverse case. They demonstrate the critical failure mode for the

transverse normal stresses in the joint related to the peel stress. Gustafson and Waas [16] developed a thermo-mechanical analytical model and a corresponding macroscopic bonded joint finite element for the analysis of orthotropic double lap joints subjected to combined thermal-mechanical loads. Mokhtari et.al [17] studied the effects of composite layer stiffness, thickness and ply orientations on stresses in the adhesive layer of a double lap bonded joint are investigated using three-dimensional finite element analysis. Non-linear behavior of the adhesive is considered for different ply orientations to find the maximum stress in adhesive joints. Choupani [18] carried out a finite element study to understand the stress fields and stress intensity factors over the behavior of cracks in adhesively bonded double-lap joints. From the finite element results it was found that the patch materials of low stiffness, low adhesive module and low tapering angles are desirable for a strong double-lap joint aerospace structure. Vallee et al [19] carried out the experimental and numerical investigations were carried out on adhesively bonded full-scale double lap joints composed of pultruded GFRP profiles with relatively thick adhesive layers. The influence of different geometric parameters on the joint strength was investigated for different adhesive layer thickness, the fillet radius and the overlap length. Kim et al [20] present the behavior of a two-part epoxy adhesive bonded to a steel substrate subjected to cold region environment. Experimental tests are conducted for various specimens using double-lap shear joints in wet–dry and freeze–thaw conditions to examine the durability performance of the joint, including load-carrying capacity, interface deterioration, and failure mode.

The overwhelming majority of the work on the subject of adhesive bonding has focused on the single-lap configuration. Very few works appear to have been made on the performance of double lap joints in isotropic and orthotropic materials. The stresses induced at the interfaces of the adherends and adhesive play an important role in the design of adhesively bonded joints in FRP composites. Hence, these stresses are required to be analyzed most accurately. As part of the analysis the present work, attempts to study the interfacial stress characteristics of adhesive bonded double lap joint made of generally orthotropic laminates (FRP) subjected to axial loading. The analysis includes the evaluation of (i) Interlaminar normal stress ( $\sigma_{zz}$ ) (ii) Interlaminar shear stress in longitudinal plane ( $\tau_{zx}$ ) and (iii) Interlaminar shear stress in transverse plane ( $\tau_{yz}$ ) at the interfaces of the adherend and adhesive, and at the middle plane of the adhesive.

## 2. PROBLEM MODELING

The details of geometry, finite element model with validation, stacking sequence of the laminate, type of load applied along with boundary conditions and material properties used for the static analysis of double lap joint are as follows.

### 2.1 Geometry

The geometry of the double lap joint used for the present analysis is as shown in Fig.1.




Fig. 1 Geometry of the double lap joint (All the dimensions are in mm)

The total length of the adherend is taken as 100mm and width of the adherend is taken as 25mm. The adhesive thickness is 1mm. The overlap length for the geometry is taken as 12.5 mm. The value of thickness of the adherend ( $t_{an}$ ) is determined from the length to thickness ratio ( $s$ ) equal to 10.

In Fig.1 TI-1, TI-2, BI-1 and BI-2 represents the adherend and adhesive Interfaces at top and bottom of the double lap joint about middle adherend. MI-1 and MI-2 represents the Interfaces at middle of the adhesive at top and bottom of the double lap joint about middle adherend. According to these dimensions a geometric model is prepared by using ANSYS software and Finite Element mesh is done as per the geometric modeling.

### 2.2 Finite Element Modeling

The finite element mesh is generated using a three-dimensional brick element 'SOLID 45' of ANSYS [16]. This element (Fig. 2) is a structural solid element designed based on three-dimensional elasticity theory and is used to model thick orthotropic and isotropic solids. The

element is defined by eight nodes having three degrees of freedom per node: translations in the nodal x, y, and z directions. SOLID45 element is used for the structural analyses of the joint considered for the present analysis.




Fig.2 SOLID45 Element

### 2.3 Loading and Boundary Conditions

The following types of loads and boundary conditions are applied for prediction of the response of the structure in the present analysis.

**Loading:** A uniform longitudinal load of 10Mpa is applied at the non clamped end of DLJ in the present analysis.

**Boundary Conditions:** One end of the joint is clamped and the other end is restricted to move in the transverse direction.

### 2.4 Material Properties

The following mechanical properties are used for the present analysis of double lap joint.

#### *i) Epoxy (adhesive)*

$E = 5.171 \text{ GPa}$ ;  $\nu = 0.35$ ;

#### *ii) Graphite-Epoxy (adherends)*

$$E_1 = 172.72 \text{ GPa}, \quad E_2 = E_3 = 6.909 \text{ GPa}$$

$$G_{12} = G_{13} = 3.45 \text{ GPa}, \quad G_{23} = 1.38 \text{ GPa}, \quad \nu_{12} = \nu_{13} = \nu_{23} = 0.25$$

### 2.5 Laminate sequence

$+ \theta^0 / - \theta^0 / - \theta^0 / + \theta^0$  laminated FRP composite plates are used as adherends for the present analysis. The value of  $\theta$  is measured from the longitudinal direction of the structure (x-axis) and varied from  $0^0$  to  $90^0$  in steps of  $15^0$ .

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Validation of the finite element model.

Fig. 3 shows the finite element mesh on the overlap region of the double lap joint. The finite element mesh divisions on the non overlap regions are same as that given for overlap region across thickness, but along the length coarse mesh is considered to limit the number of nodes without losing the accuracy of the solution. The present finite element model is validated by comparing the stresses obtained for the double lap joint of especially orthotropic laminates with the theoretical concepts. A few computed values of stresses on free surfaces of the present finite element model are obtained after conducting number of convergence tests by varying mesh size. The values of interlaminar stresses are observed to be nearly equal to zero at all free surfaces.

Table1. Validation of the finite element model for double lap joint

POSITION		VALUE OF STRESSES ON FREE SURFACES		
X( mm)	Z (mm)	$\sigma_{zz}$ (Mpa)	$\tau_{zx}$ (MPa)	$\tau_{yz}$ (MPa)
0	6	-0.00041834	-0.0063767	0.30015E-09
12.5	6	0.0068800	0.000068355	-0.19124E-09
34.75	6	0.00024352	0.00030971	-0.19156E-10
56.25	6	0.00010073	-0.0098682	-0.49716E-10
-21.875	0	-0.000094385	0.0077292	0.31930E-10
-43.75	0	0.00025699	-0.030896	0.84994E-11




Fig. 3 Finite element mesh on the overlap region of the double lap joint

### 3.2 Variation of stresses and displacement with fiber angle at $t_{an} = 10\text{mm}$ .

Figs. 4-7 show the variation of the stresses and displacement with respect to  $\theta$  for longitudinal loading. The normal stress,  $\sigma_{zz}$  (Fig.4) increases with increase in  $\theta$  up to  $45^\circ$  and later decreases at all the surfaces under consideration. It is also observed that the stresses are same at the top and bottom interfaces and their value is more at middle surface of the adhesive. As the fiber angle increases, the stiffness of the plate in the longitudinal direction decreases and the stress increases. The reduction of the stresses beyond  $\theta = 45^\circ$  may be due to the effect of coupling.

Fig. 5 shows the variation of  $\tau_{yz}$  with respect to  $\theta$ . This stress also increases up to  $\theta = 45^\circ$  and later decreases. The magnitude  $\tau_{yz}$  is small at top interface compared to bottom interface and mid interface. However the magnitudes of these stresses are less when compared to  $\sigma_{zz}$  at all fiber angles under consideration.

Fig. 6 shows the variation of  $\tau_{zx}$  with respect to  $\theta$ . This stress at all the interfaces increases up to  $\theta = 45^\circ$ , and later decreases. The magnitude  $\tau_{zx}$  is small at bottom interface followed by top interface and middle interface. The magnitude of stress at all the interfaces is more for  $\tau_{zx}$  and  $\sigma_{zz}$  when compared to  $\tau_{yz}$ .

Fig. 7 shows the variation of the longitudinal displacement of the structure with respect to the fiber angle. There is a slight increase in 'u' up to  $15^\circ$ , increases at a greater rate between  $30^\circ$  and  $60^\circ$  followed by a slight increase. The increase in the longitudinal displacement is due to the reduction of the stiffness with increase in fiber angle. Variations up to  $30^\circ$  and beyond  $60^\circ$  may be due to the effect of coupling.





Fig.4. Variation of  $\sigma_{zz}$  with  $\theta$  ( $t_{an} = 10\text{mm}$ ) Fig.5. Variation of  $\tau_{yz}$  with  $\theta$  ( $t_{an} = 10\text{mm}$ )





Fig.6. Variation of  $\tau_{zx}$  with  $\theta$  ( $t_{an} = 10\text{mm}$ ) Fig.7. Variation of  $u$  with  $\theta$  ( $t_{an} = 10\text{mm}$ )

### 3.3 Variation of stresses and displacement with adherend thickness at fiber angle

$$\theta = 45^\circ$$

Figs. 8-11 show the effect of adherend thickness ( $t_{an}$ ) on stresses and displacement for longitudinal loading. Fig.8 shows the variation of normal stress  $\sigma_{zz}$  with  $t_{an}$  for longitudinal loading. The normal stress  $\sigma_{zz}$  increases with increase in adherend thickness ( $t_{an}$ ). The magnitude of  $\sigma_{zz}$  is small at top interface followed by bottom interface and mid interface.

Fig. 9 shows the variation of  $\tau_{yz}$  with  $t_{an}$ . The magnitude  $\tau_{yz}$  is small at top interface followed by bottom interface and mid interface. However the magnitude of these stresses is slightly more at top interface compared to stresses at bottom interface upto 5mm thickness of the adherend. The magnitudes of the stresses are less at all interfaces when compared to  $\sigma_{zz}$ .

Fig. 10 shows the variation of  $\tau_{zx}$  with  $t_{an}$ . This stress increases as the adherend thickness increases. The magnitude  $\tau_{zx}$  is small at bottom interface followed by top interface and mid interface. The magnitude of stress at the interfaces is more for  $\tau_{zx}$  and  $\sigma_{zz}$  when compared to  $\tau_{yz}$ .

Fig. 11 shows the variation of longitudinal displacement 'u' with adherend thickness. There is a slight decrease in 'u' upto 4mm thickness, and then increases at a greater rate between 4mm to 6mm followed by a slight increase.

Fig. 12-15 represent the deformed shapes of the double lap joint for the fiber angle of  $\theta = 45^\circ$ .




Fig 8. Variation of  $\sigma_{zz}$  with  $t_{an}$




Fig 9. Variation of  $\tau_{yz}$  with  $t_{an}$




Fig 10. Variation of  $\tau_{zx}$  with  $t_{an}$




Fig 11. Variation of u with  $t_{an}$




Fig.12 Normal stress in  $\sigma_{zz}$  direction




Fig.13 Interlaminar shear stress in  $\tau_{yz}$  direction



Fig.14 Interlaminar shear stress in  $\tau_{zx}$  direction




Fig.15 Longitudinal displacement in z direction

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

Three dimensional theory of elasticity based finite element analysis has been carried out for the static analysis of adhesively bonded double lap joint in laminated fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) composites. In the present analysis the effect of Interlaminar normal stress ( $\sigma_{zz}$ ), interlaminar shear stresses ( $\tau_{yz}$ ,  $\tau_{zx}$ ) and displacement in angle-ply laminates is determined for the different cases of the adherent thickness of the double lap joint. From all the above cases it was predicted that maximum value of the interlaminar stresses for the joint regions occurs particularly at fiber angle  $\theta = 45^\circ$ . The following reasons are concluded from the part of this analysis.

- The magnitude of stresses  $\sigma_{zz}$ ,  $\tau_{yz}$  and  $\tau_{zx}$  increases upto  $\theta = 45^\circ$  and later onwards it decreases. As the fiber angle increases the stiffness of the plate in the longitudinal direction decreases and the stress increases. The reduction of the stresses beyond  $\theta = 45^\circ$  may be due to the effect of coupling.
- The increase in the longitudinal displacement is due to the reduction of the stiffness with increase in fiber angle. Variations up to  $30^\circ$  and beyond  $60^\circ$  may be due to the effect of coupling.
- The magnitude of stress at all the interfaces is more for  $\sigma_{zz}$  and  $\tau_{zx}$  when compared to  $\tau_{yz}$ .
- The magnitude of stresses  $\sigma_{zz}$ ,  $\tau_{yz}$ ,  $\tau_{zx}$  and 'u' increases with increase in thickness of the adherend. A similar trend is maintained by the stresses  $\sigma_{zz}$ ,  $\tau_{yz}$  and  $\tau_{zx}$  for all the values of adherend thickness under consideration.
- The increase in longitudinal displacement and stresses is due to the reduction of stiffness of the plate in the longitudinal direction with increase in fiber angle.
- The peel stress,  $\sigma_{zz}$ , found to be the deciding parameter in design of adhesive bonded double lap joints as the magnitude of this stress is greatest when compared to other stresses.
- The fiber angle  $\theta$  influences the stresses due to the mismatch in layer properties at the interfaces leading to delamination.

**Nomenclature**

$E_L = E_1$	Young's modulus of the lamina in the fiber direction
$E_T = E_2 = E_3$	Young's modulus of the lamina in the transverse direction of the fiber
$G_{LT} = G_{12} = G_{13}$	Shear modulus in the longitudinal plane of the fiber
$G_{TT} = G_{23}$	Shear modulus in the transverse plane of the fiber
$\nu_{LT} = \nu_{12} = \nu_{13}$	Poisons ratio in the longitudinal plane of the fiber
$\nu_{TT} = \nu_{23}$	Poisons ratio in the transverse plane of the fiber
$t_{an}$	Adherend Thickness
$\theta$	Fiber Angle

**REFERENCES**

1. Volkersen O, Die Niekraftverteilung in Zugbeanspruchten mit Konstanten Laschenquerschriften, Luftfahrtforschung, 1938, pp. 41–47
2. Goland M, Reissner E, The Stresses in Cemented Joints, ASME Trans., Journal of Applied Mechanics, 1944, pp. 17–27
3. Hart-Smith L, Adhesive-Bonded Single Lap Joints, NASA-CR-112236, 1973
4. Hart-Smith L, Adhesive-bonded Double Lap Joints, NASA-CR-112235, 1973
5. Kim H, Kedward K, Stress analysis of adhesively bonded joints under in plane shear loading, J. Adhesion, 2001, pp. 1-36
6. Penado FE, Dropek RK, Numerical design and analysis, Engineered materials Hand book, 3, Adhesives and Sealants, ASM International, 1990
7. Abdolmajid S, Yousefsani M T, Accurate determination of stress distributions in adhesively bonded homogeneous and heterogeneous double-lapjoints, European Journal of Mechanics A/Solids, 2013, pp.197-208
8. Adams RD, Peppiatt NA, Stress Analysis of Adhesively Bonded Lap Joints, Journal of Strain Analysis, 1974, pp.185–196

9. Panigrahi SK, Pradhan B, Three dimensional Failure analysis and damage Propagation behavior of Adhesively bonded Single lap joints in laminated FRP Composites, *Journal of Reinforced plastics and Composites*, 2007, pp. 183-201
10. S.K. Panigrahi, B. Pradhan, Onset and growth of adhesion failure and delamination induced damages in double lap joint of Laminated FRP composites, *Composite Structures*, 2008, pp. 326–336
11. S.K. Panigrahi, B. Pradhan, Through-the-width delamination damage propagation characteristics in single-lap laminated FRP composite joints, *International Journal of Adhesion & Adhesives*, 2009, pp. 114–124
12. Ascione F, Ultimate behaviour of adhesively bonded FRP lap joints, *Composites: Part B*, 2009, pp. 107–115
13. De Castro J, Thomas Keller, Ductile double-lap joints from brittle GFRP laminates and ductile adhesives, Part I: Experimental investigation, *Composites: Part B*, , 2008, pp. 271–281
14. Chen WT, Nelson CW, Thermal Stress in Bonded Joints, *IBM J. RES. DEVELOP*, 1979, pp. 179-188
15. Osnes H, McGeorgeb D, Analysis of overlaminated double-lapjoints, *Journal of Composites :PartB*, 2005, pp. 544–558
16. Peter A, Gustafson A, Waas M, A Macroscopic Finite element for a symmetric double lap joint subjected to mechanical and thermal loading, 16th International conference on composite materials, Japan, 2007
17. Mokhtari M, Madani K, Belhouari M, Touzain S, X. Feauga, Ratwani M, Effects of composite adherend properties on stresses in double lap bonded joints, *Journal of Materials and Design*, 2013, pp. 633–639
18. Choupani N, Characterization of fracture in adhesively bonded double-lap joints, *International Journal of Adhesion & Adhesives*, 2009, pp. 761–773
19. Vallee T, Correia J, Thomas Keller, Probabilistic strength prediction for double lap joints composed of pultruded GFRP profiles partI:Experimental and numerical investigations, *Journal of Composites Science and Technology*, 2006, pp. 1903–1914

20. Kim YJ, Hossain M, Yoshitake I, Cold region durability of a two-part epoxy adhesive in double-lap shear joints: Experiment and model development, *Journal of Construction and Building Materials*, , 2012, pp. 295–304